# The future of Linux packet filtering targeted for kernel 2.6

by

Harald Welte <laforge@gnumonks.org>

# Future of Linux packet filtering

### □ Problems with current 2.4.x netfilter/iptables

 $\odot Solution$  to code replication

○Solution for dynamic rulesets

○Solution for API to GUI's and other management programs

### □HA for stateful firewalling

What's special about firewalling HA
 Poor man's failover
 Deal state replication

Real state replication

### □Other current work

# Problems with 2.4.x netfilter/iptables

### □ code replication between iptables/ip6tables/arptables

iptables was never meant for other protocols, but people did copy+paste 'ports'
 replication of

core kernel code
layer 3 independent matches (mac, interface, ...)
userspace library (libiptc)
userspace tool (iptables)
userspace plugins (libipt\_xxx.so)

### □ doesn't suit the needs for dynamically changing rulesets

odynamic rulesets becomming more common due (service selection, IDS)
oa whole table is created in userspace and sent as blob to kernel
ofor every ruleset the table needs to be copied to userspace and back
oinside kernel consistency checks on whole table, loop detection

### □too extensible for writing any forward-compatible GUI

new extensions showing up all the time
a frontend would need to know about the options and use of a new extension
thus frontends are always incomplete and out-of-date
no high-level API other than piping to iptables-restore

# Future of Linux packet filtering Reducing code replication

# □code replication is a real problem: unclean, bugfixes missed

# □we need layer 3 independent layer for

osubmitting rules to the kernel

otraversing packet-rulesets supporting match/target modules

oregistering matches/targets

layer 3 specific (like matching ipv4 address)
layer 3 independent (like matching MAC address)

# □solution

#### opkt\_tables inside kernel

▷pkt\_tables\_ipv4 registers layer 3 handler with pkt\_tables

▷pkt\_tables\_ipv6 registers layer 3 handler with pkt\_tables

everybody registering a pkt\_table (like iptable\_filter) needs to specify the I3 protocol

Olibraries in userspace (see later)

# Future of Linux packet filtering Supporting dynamic rulesets

□ atomic table-replacement turned out to be bad idea

need new interface for sending individual rules to kernel

□policy routing has the same problem and good solution: rtnetlink

# □solution: nfnetlink

multicast-netlink based packet-orinented socket between kernel and userspace
 has extra benefit that other userspace processes get notified of rule changes
 [just like routing daemons]

onfnetlink will be low-layer below all kernel/userspace communication

▷pkttnetlink [aka iptnetlink]

⊳ctnetlink

⊳ulog

⊳ip\_queue

#### Future of Linux packet filtering Communication with other programs

whole set of libraries □ libnfnetlink for low-layer communication

# □libpkttnetlink for rule modifications

owill handle all plugins [which are currently part of iptables]

oquery functions about available matches/targets

- oquery functions about parameters
- query functions for help messages about specific match/parameter of a match
   generic structure from which rules can be built
- oconversion functions to parse generic structure into in-kernel structure
- $\circ \text{conversion}$  functiosn to perse kernel structure into generic structure
- ofunctions to convert generic structure in plain text

□libipq will stay API-compatible to current version

□libipulog will stay API-compatible to current version

□libiptc will go away [compatibility layer extremely difficult]

# HA for netfillter/iptables

What is special about firewall failover?

# □Nothing, in case of the stateless packet filter

○ Common IP takeover solutions can be used
 ▷ VRRP
 ▷ Hartbeat

# Distribution of packet filtering ruleset no problem

○can be done manually

 $\circ$  or implemented with simple userspace process

### □ Problems arise with stateful packet filters

 $\circ \mbox{Connection}$  state only on active node

 $\circ \mathsf{NAT}$  mappings only on active node

Connection tracking...

□ implemented seperately from NAT

□enables stateful filtering

□implementation

ohooks into NF\_IP\_PRE\_ROUTING to track packets

ohooks into NF\_IP\_POST\_ROUTING and NF\_IP\_LOCAL\_IN to see if packet passed filtering rules

oprotocol modules (currently TCP/UDP/ICMP)

oapplication helpers currently (FTP,IRC,H.323,talk,SNMP)

□ divides packets in the following four categories

ONEW - would establish new connection

OESTABLISHED - part of already established connection

ORELATED - is related to established connection

OINVALID - (multicast, errors...)

□does \_NOT\_ filter packets itself

□ can be utilized by iptables using the 'state' match

□ is used by NAT Subsystem

Common structures □ struct ip\_conntrack\_tuple, representing unidirectional flow

layer 3 src + dst
layer 4 protocol

○layer 4 src + dst

□connetions represented as struct ip\_conntrack

original tuple
reply tuple
timeout
I4 state private data
app helper
app helper private data
expected connections

### Flow of events for new packet

# □packet enters NF\_IP\_PRE\_ROUTING

otuple is derived from packet

Olookup conntrack hash table with hash(tuple) -> fails

onew ip\_conntrack is allocated

▷ fill in original and reply == inverted(original) tuple

▷initialize timer

▷assign app helper if applicable

▷see if we've been expected -> fails

▷ call layer 4 helper 'new' function

# □packet enters NF\_IP\_POST\_ROUTING

odo hashtable lookup for packet -> fails
 oplace struct ip\_conntrack in hashtable

# Flow of events for packet part of existing connection □packet enters NF\_IP\_PRE\_ROUTING

tuple is derived from packet
lookup conntrack hash table with hash(tuple)
assosiate conntrack entry with skb->nfct
call l4 protocol helper 'packet' function
do l4 state tracking
update timeouts as needed [i.e. TCP TIME\_WAIT,...]

```
□...
```

# Description of the second s

odo hashtable lookup for packet -> succeds
 odo nothing else

#### HA for netfillter/iptables Poor man's failover

# Poor man's failover

Olet every node do it's own tracking rather than replicating state

# □two possible implementations

#### oconnect every node to shared media (i.e. real ethernet)

▷ forwarding only turned on on active node

▷ slave nodes use promiscuous mode to sniff packets

#### $\circ \text{copy}$ all traffic to slave nodes

▷active master needs to copy all traffic to other nodes

disadvantage: high load, sync traffic == payload traffic

▷ IMHO stupid way of solving the problem

# □advantages

#### overy easy implementation

▷ only addition of sniffing mode to conntrack needed
 ▷ existing means of address takeover can be used
 ○ same load on active master and slave nodes
 ○ no additional load on active master
 □ disadvantages

ocan only be used with real shared media (no switches, ...)

○can not be used with NAT

□ remaining problem

ono initial state sync after reboot of slave node!

# Real state replication

Parts needed

□ state replication protocol

omulticast based

osequence numbers for detection of packet loss

**ONACK-based retransmission** 

 ${\circ}\ensuremath{\mathsf{no}}$  no security, since private ethernet segment to be used

□event interface on active node

ocalling out to callback function at all state changes

□exported interface to manipulate conntrack hash table

□kernel thread for sending conntrack state protocol messages

oregisters with event interface

ocreates and accumulates state replication packets

osends them via in-kernel sockets api

□kernel thread for receiving conntrack state replication messages

oreceives state replication packets via in-kernel sockets

ouses conntrack hashtable manipulation interface

#### HA for netfillter/iptables Real state replication

### □Flow of events in chronological order:

#### on active node, inside the network RX softirq

- ▷ connection tracking code is analyzing a forwarded packet
- ▷ connection tracking gathers some new state information
- ▷ connection tracking updates local connection tracking database
- ▷ connection tracking sends event message to event API

#### on active node, inside the conntrack-sync kernel thread

- ▷ conntrack sync daemon receives event through event API
- conntrack sync daemon aggregates multiple event messages into a state replication protocol message, removing possible redundancy
- ▷ conntrack sync daemon generates state replication protocol message
- ▷ conntrack sync daemon sends state replication protocol message

#### on slave node(s), inside network RX softirq

- connection tracking code ignores packets coming from the interface attached to the private conntrac sync network
- ▷ state replication protocol messages is appended to socket receive queue of conntrack-sync kernel thread
- on slave node(s), inside conntrack-sync kernel thread
  - ▷ conntrack sync daemon receives state replication message
  - conntrack sync daemon creates/updates conntrack entry

HA for netfillter/iptables

# Neccessary changes to kernel

Neccessary changes to current conntrack core

□event generation (callback functions) for all state changes

Conntrack hashtable manipulation API

ois needed (and already implemented) for 'ctnetlink' API

□ conntrack exemptions

needed to \_not\_ track conntrack state replication packets
is needed for other cases as well
currently being developed by Jozsef Kadlecsik

# HA for netfillter/iptables

# optimizing the conntrack code

#### ohash function optimization

▷ current hash function not good for even hash bucket count

▷ other hash functions in development

▷ hash function evaluation tool [cttest] available

▷ introduce per-system randomness to prevent hash attack

ocode optimization (locking/timers/...)

### □getting our work submitted into the mainstream kernel

Oturns out to be more difficult
 ▷ e.g. newnat api now waiting for three months

### □ discussions about multiple targets/actions per rule

otechnical implementation easy

ohowever, not everybody convinced that it fits into the concept

# □using tc for firewalling

Jamal Hadi Selim uses iptables targets from within TC
 leads to discussion of generic classification engine API in kernel

# Future of Linux packet filtering

The slides and the an according paper of this presentation are available at http://www.gnumonks.org/

□The netfilter homepage http://www.netfilter.org/

### □Thanks to

○the BBS people, Z-Netz, FIDO, ...

▷ for heavily increasing my computer usage in 1992

#### **○KNF**

▷ for bringing me in touch with the internet as early as 1994

▷ for providing a playground for technical people

▷ for telling me about the existance of Linux!

OAlan Cox, Alexey Kuznetsov, David Miller, Andi Kleen

▷ for implementing (one of?) the world's best TCP/IP stacks

#### OPaul 'Rusty' Russell

▷ for starting the netfilter/iptables project

▷ for trusting me to maintain it today

#### OAstaro AG

▷ for sponsoring parts of my netfilter work